UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,086	12/30/2005	Satoshi Tamano	1141/75586	8130
23432 COOPER & DU	7590 09/01/200 J NHAM. LLP	EXAMINER		
30 Rockefeller		BOR, HELENE CATHERINE		
20th Floor NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,086	TAMANO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	TIELENE BOTT	0700
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee jinally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a •	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		Colou diamino.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / thoriament (1 102 02 1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		annoly med annonament cancerning and
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6-10,12 and 16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	, , , ,	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowance because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Eric F Winakur/	
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 3768

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant submitted amendments to overcome pending USC 35 § 112 second paragraph rejections. In case of an Appeal the amendments will be entered in order to simplify the issues for appeal. The Applicant submitted arguments that to the Applicant's understanding that Figure 9, Element 17 of Gondo represented the ultrasonic wave transmission and reception channels. The Applicant submitted the argument that Gondo does not disclose or suggest that the connection change over switch is constituted in such a manner that each of the third predetermined number of the ultrasonic wave transmission and reception channels is connectable with the first predetermined number of the vibrator elements at the second predetermined number of channel intervals. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner understands according to the claim language that the first predetermined number is the total number of the vibrating elements in the array. Gondo for example uses 64 vibrating elements as an example (Col. 9, Line 45-46). Further the claims state that there is a second predetermined number of elements that consist of a subset of the first predetermine number of the total of elements and that the second predetermined number is equal to the third. Gondo teaches that, in the case of performing a synthetic aperture method, only one fourth of the vibrating elements are used (Col 11, Line 3-37). The one fourth of the vibrating elements the Examiner is interpreting to be the second number of elements and that the one fourth is connected to an equal number or the third predetermined number of ultrasonic transmission and reception channels.(Col. 11, Line 30-32).

The Examiner recognizes the differences between the prior art of the rejection and the invention of the Applicant as described in the Specification. However, the Examiner contends that such differences are not reflected in the claims as presented especially in term of the change over switch and the elements for controlling activation of the switch. While the Specification of the Applicant explains the nature of the change over switch (as shown in Figure 4 of the Applicant's disclosure), the claims can be interpreted as the change over switch as having a much more simplistic operation as in a one-to-one relationship as disclosed by Gondo. The Examiner deems the rejection to be proper and is hereby maintained.